

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) - Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Procurement of Reconciliation Solution
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/LSG/OPG/RS/15022016
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS267876E
6. Date & Time of Bid Submission: March 29, 2016 at 03:00 p.m.
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: March 29, 2016 at 03:30 p.m.
8. No of Bids Received: Two (02)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: As follows

Name of Bidder	Marks		Total Evaluated Cost (PKR)*	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP, 2004.
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)		
M/s. AMFCO International Pvt. Ltd.	N/A	N/A	49,398,720/-	Lowest Evaluated Bid
M/s. Synergy Copmuters Pvt. Ltd.	N/A	N/A	59,591,037/-	-

* All costs are inclusive of all applicable taxes

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/s. AMFCO International Private Limited

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.
 - Annexure II- Evaluation Criteria

Wing Head ITPW
Logistic Support Group

Evaluation Criteria

Procurement of Reconciliation Solution

Tender ID: NBP/LSG/OPG/RS/15022016

The bids/proposals with all complete documents submitted on the bid submission date will be evaluated as under:

1. All Bidders are required to submit filled, correct and complete Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document along their bid. If the Bidder fails to do so, its bid will be considered as automatically rejected. All Bidders are also requested to affix their company's stamp/signature on the provided Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document.
2. All Bidders are required to propose a single brand/model of servers to NBP as any alternate or additional brands/models will not be considered for evaluation and such bid will be deemed as rejected.
3. If any Bidder mentions proposes financial details (i.e. price, cost, bid security amount etc.) in its TECHNICAL PROPOSAL or in response to any NBP clarification query during the technical evaluation, its bid will be deemed as rejected.
4. Technical Requirements mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document with “**Mandatory/Feature Required**” is evaluated as follows:
 - a. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only ‘Y’, ‘Yes’, ‘N’, ‘No’ is required in the availability column for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document).
 - b. All technical requirements with “Mandatory” marking must be answered as ‘Y’ or ‘Yes’. If the Bidder responses ‘N’ or ‘No’ against any of such “Mandatory” marking requirement, its bid will be deemed to be technically disqualified and will be rejected.
 - c. For all “Mandatory” marking technical requirements against which Bidder is responding “Y”, the Bidder should specify the proper reference of the proposal in the reference / substantiation column. NBP may request for any other additional documentary evidence against any requirement that must be provided by the Bidder during the period of evaluation. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in the letter/fax/ e-mail seeking the explanation. In the event of a Bidder failing to provide the reference or any information sought/document requested by NBP, its bid will be deemed to be technically disqualified and will be rejected.
 - d. All technical requirements with “Feature Required” marking can be answered as ‘Y’, ‘Yes’, ‘N’, ‘No’ as these requirements will not be considered for evaluation. If bidder responds ‘N’ or ‘No’ against any of the “Feature required” marking

requirement, its bid will not be considered as rejected. The Bidder will be required to incorporate the feature in the system during implementation. The cost of development of these features will be part of implementation and shall be clearly mentioned in the proposal as no other charges will be paid to the Bidder other than the quoted bid value/price of the solution. Bidder response as “Yes” against the requirement shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the quoted price.

- e. For all requirements against which Bidder is not providing any response (i.e. an empty availability cell or an availability cell with a response other than “Y/Yes” or “N/No”), NBP will first check that against such requirements proper reference documents have been provided or not in the submitted bid. If reference document is found then NBP may ask clarification from the bidder about its response, however if reference document will also not found then response of bidder shall be considered as ‘No’ and its bid will be deemed as rejected.
 - f. The Bidders are required to include the price of all requirements with “Mandatory” marking where the response is ‘Y’ in its Financial Proposal as the price mentioned in Financial Proposal will be considered as final and cannot be changed in any circumstances after the submission of bid.
5. The prices proposed by the Bidders will be evaluated on the solution, cost of additional development, if required and three (3) years support and maintenance cost.
 6. Bid from the technically qualified Bidders and the Bidder having the lowest evaluated price/cost will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for the award of the contract.
 7. As per requirement of ITB 31 mentioned in Annexure VI – Standard Bidding Document of RFP, NBP may conduct a post-qualification evaluation exercise for the Bidder which is selected as having submitted the lowest evaluated bid. A negative evaluation will result in rejection of the Bidder’s bid, in which event NBP shall proceed to the next lowest evaluated bidder to make a similar evaluation.