

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Procurement of Banking Solution for NBP Paris Branch
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/IT/PW/BS/20022014
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS203611E
6. Date & Time of Bid Submission: March 24, 2014 03:00 PM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: March 24, 2014 04:00 PM
8. No of Bids Received: Three (03)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (Euro)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP, 2004.
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)		
Capital Banking Solutions	N/A	N/A	526,920	Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements
ERI BANCAIRE PARIS	N/A	N/A	-	Bid rejected as bidder technical proposal did not conform with the specified requirements
SAB	N/A	N/A	-	Bid rejected as bidder financial proposal/bid validity period did not conform with the specified requirements

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: Capital Banking Solutions

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.
 - Annexure II - Evaluation Criteria

Signature:

Official Stamp:

***Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

Evaluation Criteria

Procurement of Banking Solution for NBP Paris Branch

TENDER ID: NBP/IT/PW/BS/20022014

The bids with all complete documents will be evaluated as under.

1. All bidders are required to submit filled, correct, complete and signed/stamped Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document along with their TECHNICAL PROPOSAL. If the bidder fails to do so, its bid will be considered as rejected.
2. If any bidder mentions propose solution financial details (i.e. price, cost etc.) in its TECHNICAL PROPOSAL, its bid will be considered as rejected.
3. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only 'Y', 'N' or 'C' is required in the availability column 'D' for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document). If any bidder provides response other than 'Y', 'N' or 'C' against any technical requirement, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
4. If bidder response 'N' against any of 'High' Priority requirement, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
5. Bidder may response 'C' (Customization required) against maximum of 25% of 'High' Priority requirements. If bidder responses of 'C' against these 'High' Priority requirements become greater than 25%, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
6. All technical requirements with 'Low' Priority can be answered as 'Y' or 'N'. If bidder responds 'N' against any of the 'Low' Priority requirement, its bid will not be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
7. For all 'High' priority technical requirements against which Bidder is either responding 'Y' or 'C', bidder should specify the proper references of the technical proposal in the reference / substantiation column. NBP may ask any other additional documentary evidence against any requirement that must be provided by the Bidder during the period of evaluation. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in NBP's letter/fax/ e-mail seeking the

explanation. Failing to provide the reference within the given timeframe and/or incorrect reference, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.

8. For all requirements against which Bidder is not providing any response (i.e. an empty availability cell or an availability cell with a response other than “Y”/‘Yes’ or ‘N’/‘No’), NBP will first check that against such requirements proper reference document have been provided or not in the submitted technical proposal. If reference document is found then NBP ask clarification from the bidder about its response, however if reference document will also not found then response of bidder shall be considered as ‘No’ and its bid will be considered as rejected.
9. The bidders are required to include the price of all requirements with ‘High’ priority where the response is either ‘Y’ or ‘C’ in its financial proposal as the price mentioned in financial proposal will be considered as final and cannot be increased in any case after the submission of bid.
10. ‘Low’ priority requirements that will be responded ‘Y’ by the bidder shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the above mentioned quoted price.
11. For evaluation of software functional requirements, NBP may request bidder to demonstrate its proposed software to NBP during evaluation stage, at no extra cost to NBP. If bidder failed to demonstrate its respective solution, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive
12. Bid from the Bidder who qualifies the “high” priority requirements as mentioned in Annexure III and having lowest evaluated price/cost will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for contract award.
13. As per requirement of ITB 31 mentioned in Annexure VI – Standard Bidding Document of RFP, NBP may conduct a post-qualification evaluation exercise for the bidder which is selected as having submitted the lowest evaluated bid. A negative evaluation will result in rejection of the bidder’s bid, in which event NBP shall proceed to the next lowest evaluated bidder to make a similar evaluation.