

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Procurement of Banking Solution for NBP Turkmenistan
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/IT/PW/BS/16092013
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS191292E
6. Date & Time of Bid Submission: October 21, 2013 11:00 a.m.
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: October 21, 2013 11:30 a.m.
8. No of Bids Received: Two (02)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (Turkmen Manat)	Rule/Regulation/SB D*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptan ce as per Rule 35 of PP, 2004.
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)		
SoftClub Ltd	N/A	N/A	823,279	Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements
AGA Birleshik Ltd	N/A	N/A	-	Bid rejected as bidder technical proposal did not conform with the specified requirements

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: SoftClub Ltd

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.
 - Annexure II - Evaluation Criteria

Signature:

Official Stamp:

***Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

Evaluation Criteria

Procurement of Banking Solution for Turkmenistan

Tender ID: NBP/IT/PW/BS/16092013

The bids/proposals with all complete documents will be technically evaluated as under.

1. All bidders are required to submit filled, correct and complete Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document along with their TECHNICAL PROPOSAL. If the bidder fails to do so, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
2. If any bidder includes propose solution financial details (i.e price, cost etc.) in its TECHNICAL PROPOSAL, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive .
3. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only 'Y', 'N' or 'C' is required in the availability column for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document). If any bidder provides response other than 'Y', 'N' or 'C' against any technical requirement, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
4. If bidder response 'N' against any of "High" Priority requirement, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
5. Bidder may response 'C' (Customization required) against maximum of 25% of "High" Priority requirements (i.e. from requirements no. 4.1 to requirements no. 16.3.6 only). If bidder response 'C' is greater than 25% against these "High" Priority requirements or bidder response 'C' to any other requirement(s) which are not in between requirements no. 4.1 to requirements no. 16.3.6, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
6. All technical requirements with "Low" Priority can be answered as 'Y' or "N". If bidder responds 'N' against any of the "Low" Priority requirement, its bid will not be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
7. For all "High" priority technical requirements against which Bidder is either responding 'Y' or 'C', bidder should specify the proper references of the technical proposal in the reference / substantiation column. NBP may ask any other additional documentary evidence against any requirement that must be provided by the Bidder during the period of evaluation. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in the letter/fax/ e-

mail seeking the explanation. Failing to provide the reference or incorrect reference, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.

8. An empty response against availability column for all “High” Priority technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document) shall be considered as ‘No’ and its bid will be considered as technically disqualified / rejected / non-responsive.
9. The bidders are required to include the price of all requirements with “High” priority where the response is either “Y” or “C” in its financial proposal as the price mentioned in financial proposal will be considered as final and cannot be increased in any case after the submission of bid.
10. “Low” priority requirements that will be responded “Y” by the bidder shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the above mentioned quoted price.

After technical evaluation, bid from the Bidder who qualifies the Technical requirements as mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document and also having the lowest evaluated bid price/cost will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for contract award.