EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) Single Stage – two envelop procedure
3. Title of Procurement: PROCUREMENT FOR BRANCH SERVERS
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/ITD/PW/BS/10102012
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): .................................................................
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: November 02nd, 2012 at 11:30 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: November 02nd, 2012 at 11:00 AM
8. No of Bids Received: 06 (Six)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Attached
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Getronics</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bidder submitted the incorrect technical requirement document which did not conform with the specified requirements, therefore its bid has been rejected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEAL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bidder submitted the incorrect technical requirement document which did not conform with the specified requirements, therefore its bid has been rejected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megaplus</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushko</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahnawaz</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/S Megaplus Pakistan.

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Signature: .................................................................

Mohammad Arif Qureshi
VP/Wing Head IT Procurement
Information Technology Division
NBP Head Office Karachi.

*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).*
Evaluation Criteria

Procurement of Branch Servers

TENDER ID: NBP/ITD/PW/BS/10102012

The bids with all complete documents will be evaluated as under.

1. All bidders are required to submit filled, correct and complete Annexure III - Technical Requirement Document along with their TECHNICAL PROPOSAL. If the bidder fails to do so, its bid will be considered as rejected.

2. If any bidder includes propose solution financial details (i.e. price, cost etc.) in their TECHNICAL PROPOSAL, its bid will be considered as rejected.

3. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only 'Y' or 'N' is required in the "Availability Response" column for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III - Technical Requirement Document).

4. All technical requirements with "High" Priority must be answered as 'Y'. If bidder responds 'N' against any of the "High" Priority requirement, its bid will be considered as rejected.

5. All technical requirements with "Low" Priority can be answered as 'Y' or "N". If bidder responds 'N' against any of the "Low" Priority requirement, its bid will not be considered as rejected.

6. For all technical requirements against which Bidder is responding "Y", bidder should specify the proper reference of the proposal in the "Bidder Response with proposal Reference / Substantiation" column (mentioned in Annexure III - Technical Requirement Document). If the bidder fails to provide the reference, its bid will be considered as rejected.

7. An empty availability cell or an availability cell with a response other than 'Y' or 'N' shall be considered as 'No' and the bid will be considered as rejected.

8. The bidders are required to quote the price of all requirements with "High" priority only. Low requirements that shall be met by bidder shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the above mentioned quoted price.

9. NBP may ask any other additional documentary evidence or explanation against any item that must be provided by the Bidder during the period of evaluation. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in the correspondence (letter/fax/e-mail). If the bidder fails to provide the required information within given timeframe, its bid will be considered as rejected.

10. Bid from the Bidder who qualifies the Technical requirements as mentioned in Annexure III and having lowest evaluated price/cost will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for contract award.