EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: Through Tenders
3. Title of Procurement: Additional work at 4th floor (South Wing).
   NBP HO Karachi
4. Tender Inquiry No: NT-06/06/2017-7
5. PPRA Ref No. (TSE): 
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 22nd June 2017 2:15 PM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 23rd June 2017 10:30 AM
8. No of Bids Received: 03 Nos. (03 Nos.)
9. Criteria of Bid Evaluation: As Per PPRA Rules
10. Details of Bid (s) Evaluation: The followings are the bid evaluation report / comparative
    statements of the bid amount after checking and corrections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Bidder</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
<th>Rule/ Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2044</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/s Maralex Construction</td>
<td>N/A N/A</td>
<td>Rs.1,982,760/-</td>
<td>(LOWEST ACCEPTED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/s A K Engineers</td>
<td>N/A N/A</td>
<td>Rs.2,103,500/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/s. Aisha Pakwood</td>
<td>N/A N/A</td>
<td>Rs.2,191,000/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/s. Maralex Construction. for Rs.1,982,760/- (Rupees One Million nine hundred eighty two thousand seven hundred sixty only)

(The tenders have been checked, examined, scrutinized and corrected by Engineering Department).

Deputy Chief Engineer
EVALUATION REPORT  
(As Per Rule 35 Of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan

2. Method of Procurement: Through Tenders

3. Title of Procurement: Additional work at 12th floor (South Wing) NBP HO Karachi

4. Tender Inquiry No: NT-06/06/2017-8

5. PPRA Ref No. (TSE): .................................................................

6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 22nd June 2017 2:15 PM

7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 23rd June 2017 10:30 AM

8. No of Bids Received: 03 Nos. (03 Nos.)

9. Criteria of Bid Evaluation: As Per PPRA Rules

10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: The followings are the bid evaluation report / comparative statements of the bid amount after checking and corrections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Bidder</th>
<th>Technical Marks (if applicable)</th>
<th>Financial Marks (if applicable)</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
<th>Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M/s Ghulam Mustafa &amp; Co.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Rs.1,969,125/-</td>
<td>(LOWEST ACCEPTED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/s Decent Trading Company</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Rs.2,050,500/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/s. Agra Engineering</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Rs.2,072,500/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/s. Ghulam Mustafa & Co. for Rs.1,969,125/- (Rupees One Million nine hundred sixty nine thousand one hundred twenty five only)

(The tenders have been checked, examined, scrutinized and corrected by Engineering Department).

Deputy Chief Engineer