

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (a) Single Stage One Envelope Bidding Procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Acquisition of Microsoft Licenses with Annual Support
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/LSG/ML/08122015
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS261244E
6. Date & Time of Bid Submission: December 23, 2015 at 10:30 a.m.
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: December 23, 2015 at 11:00 a.m.
8. No of Bids Received: Two (02)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

Name of Bidder	Marks		Total Evaluated Cost (USD)*	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP, 2004.
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)		
M/s. Premier Systems	N/A	N/A	5,387,400.36/-	Lowest Evaluated Bid
M/s. Techaccess Pakistan	N/A	N/A	5,557,594.33/-	

* All costs are inclusive of all applicable taxes

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: M/s. Premier Systems

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.
 - Annexure II- Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria

Acquisition of Microsoft Licenses with Annual Support

Tender ID: NBP/LSG/ML/08122015

The bids/proposals with all complete documents will be evaluated as under.

1. All bidders are required to submit filled, correct and complete Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document along with their bids. If the bidder fails to do so, its bid will be considered as rejected. All bidders are also requested to affix their company's stamp/signature on each page of the submitted Annexure III – Technical Requirements.
2. Technical Requirements mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document with **“Priority (High/Low)”** is evaluated as follows:
 - a. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only ‘Y’, ‘Yes’, ‘N’, ‘No’ is required in the availability column for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document).
 - b. All technical requirements with “High” Priority must be responded as ‘Y’ or ‘Yes’. Response of the Bidder as ‘N’ or ‘No’ against any “High” Priority requirement, will render its bid as technically disqualified and will be rejected.
 - c. All technical requirements with “Low” Priority can be answered as ‘Y’, ‘Yes’, ‘N’, ‘No’. Response of the bidder as ‘N’ or ‘No’ against any of the “Low” Priority requirement, its bid will not be considered as rejected.
 - d. For all “High” priority technical requirements against which Bidder is responding “Y”, Bidder should specify the proper reference of the proposal in the reference / substantiation column with page no. NBP may during the period of evaluation may seek clarification and may also ask for any other additional documentary evidence against any requirement which must be provided by the Bidder. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in the letter/fax/ e-mail seeking the explanation. Failing to provide the reference, its bid will be considered as technically disqualified and will be rejected.
 - e. For all requirements against which Bidder is not providing any response (i.e. an empty availability cell or an availability cell with a response other than “Y/Yes’ or ‘N/No’), NBP will first check whether against such requirements proper reference documents have been provided or not in the submitted bid documents. If the reference document is found then NBP may ask clarification from the Bidder about its response, however if reference document is found missing /not annexed then response of Bidder shall be considered as ‘No’ and its bid will be considered as rejected.

- f. NBP has the right to reject the bid if satisfactory proof/evidence is not appropriately referenced or provided with the bid.
 - g. The Bidders are required to include the price of all requirements with 'High' priority where the response is 'Y' in its financial proposal as the price mentioned in financial proposal will be considered as final and cannot be changed in any case after the submission of bid.
 - h. "Low" priority requirements that shall be responded "Y" by bidder shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the above mentioned quoted price.
3. The prices will be evaluated on the basis of items mentioned in Annexure VII- BOQ of the RFP document.
 4. Bid having the lowest evaluated price/cost will be evaluated technically and if found technically qualified as per the evaluation criteria, it will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and shall be accepted by NBP for awarding of contract.
 5. If the bid having lowest evaluated price/cost is not qualified technically, then the next lowest bid shall be evaluated and if qualified technically as per the evaluation criteria, shall be considered as the lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for awarding of contract.
 6. As per requirement of ITB 31 mentioned in Annexure VI – Standard Bidding Document of RFP, NBP may conduct a post-qualification evaluation exercise for the qualified Bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid. A negative evaluation will result in rejection of the Bidder's bid, in which event NBP shall proceed to the next lowest evaluated bidder to make a similar evaluation.