EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) Single Stage – two envelop procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Procurement of Slip/Impact Printers
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/LSS&EG/Slip Printers/23072014
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS216681E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: August 22, 2014 at 11:00 AM
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: August 22, 2014 at 11:30 AM
8. No of Bids Received: 03 (Three)
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Bidder</th>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Evaluated Cost</th>
<th>Rule/Regulation/SBD* /Policy/Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP, 2004.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABM Data Systems (Pvt.) Ltd.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PKR 46,170,000</td>
<td>Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Marketing Company (Pvt) Ltd.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bid rejected as bidder technical proposal did not conform with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayyanco Business Systems</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PKR 37,664,730</td>
<td>Bid accepted as bidder technical proposal conforms with the specified requirements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lowest Evaluated Bidder: Rayyanco Business Systems

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.
   • Annexure II – Bid Evaluation Criteria

Signature: .......................  
Official Stamp: .....................

*Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).
Annexure II

Evaluation Criteria

Procurement of Slip / Impact Printers

TENDER ID: NBP/LSS&EG /Slip Printers / 23072014

The bids with all complete documents will be evaluated as under.

1. All bidders are required to submit filled, correct, complete and signed/stamped Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document along with their Bid. If the bidder fails to do so, its bid will be considered as rejected.

2. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only ‘Y’ or ‘N’ is required in the “Availability Response” column for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document).

3. All technical requirements with “High” Priority must be answered as ‘Y’. If bidder responds ‘N’ against any of the “High” Priority requirement, its bid will be considered as rejected.

4. All technical requirements with “Low” Priority can be answered as ‘Y’ or “N”. If bidder responds ‘N’ against any of the “Low” Priority requirement, its bid will not be considered as rejected.

5. For all technical requirements against which Bidder is responding “Y”, bidder should specify the proper reference of the proposal in the “Bidder Response with proposal Reference / Substantiation” column (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document).

6. For all requirements against which Bidder is not providing any response (i.e. an empty availability cell or an availability cell with a response other than “Y”/“Yes” or ‘N’/“No”), NBP will first check that against such requirements proper reference documents have been provided or not in the submitted bid. If reference document is found then NBP ask clarification from the bidder about its response, however if reference document will also not found then response of bidder shall be considered as ’No’ and its bid will be considered as rejected.

7. The bidders are required to quote the price of all requirements with “High” priority only. Low requirements that shall be met by bidder shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the above mentioned quoted price.

8. NBP may ask any other additional documentary evidence or explanation against any item that must be provided by the Bidder during the period of evaluation. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in the correspondence (letter/fax/ e-mail). If the bidder fails to provide the required information within given timeframe, its bid will be considered as rejected.
9. Bid from the Bidder who qualifies the Technical requirements as mentioned in Annexure III and having lowest evaluated price/cost will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for contract award.

10. As per requirement of IBT 31 mentioned in Annexure VI – Standard Bidding Document of RFP, NBP may conduct a post-qualification evaluation exercise for the bidder which is selected as having submitted the lowest evaluated bid. A negative evaluation will result in rejection of the bidder's bid, in which event NBP shall proceed to the next lowest evaluated bidder to make a similar evaluation.